

**MINUTES
MINNETONKA CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING, MONDAY, NOVEMBER 23, 2009**

1. CALL TO ORDER.

Mayor Schneider called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

All joined in the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. ROLL CALL

Councilmembers Bob Ellingson, Tony Wagner, James Hiller, Dick Allendorf, Amber Greves, Brad Wiersum, and Terry Schneider were present.

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

City Manager John Gunyou noted addenda to items 10F and 10G.

Wiersum moved, Greves seconded a motion to accept the agenda with the addenda. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: October 26, 2009 regular meeting

Allendorf moved, Wagner seconded a motion to approve the minutes of the October 26, 2009 Minnetonka City Council regular meeting. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

6. SPECIAL MATTERS: None

7. REPORTS FROM CITY MANAGER & COUNCIL MEMBERS

Gunyou reported on the schedule for upcoming council meetings.

Schneider reported he and Greves attended the National League of Cities Conference in San Antonio, Texas. He said it was a well run conference with a lot of useful breakout sessions. There was a lot of focus on the economy, the challenges cities are facing, and what is being done to meet some of the challenges. Greves said there were a lot of sessions on sustainability. She and Schneider both attended a good session on transit oriented development.

8. CITIZENS WISHING TO DISCUSS MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Arlene Gregory, 436 Kenmar Circle, said she had a new water meter installed around two years ago. Her October water bill indicated she owed \$319.10. This amount was three to four times more than her normal quarterly amount. She said

she pays her bills on time and paid her October bill. She discovered the \$319.10 covered seven quarters due to the meter being read incorrectly over that time. She questioned why it took so long to discover the error and what could be done in the future to prevent similar errors from occurring. She contacted the city and was told her water meter had not been working correctly. She questioned how it was discovered that the meter was not working correctly and why she wasn't notified. She said she contacted Wagner who told her the city contacts residents if there is no water use in two months.

Gunyou confirmed Gregory's information was correct. The meter was not working correctly and this was discovered during the infiltration and inflow meter replacement process. In the past, staff has relied on residents to point out errors in their bills. He said staff was in the process of implementing a new procedure that includes monitoring the bills to check for inactivity. If inactivity is discovered staff will try to contact the property owners to verify the status. He noted staff has worked with Gregory to establish a payment plan. The city's policy is that for overpayments, the city reimburses the amount including interest but if a resident is under billed, no interest is charged and a payment plan is worked out.

Schneider said he had a slight concern with trying to contact people after two months of inactivity because some residents do leave the city for the winter. He said staff should use some discretion.

9. BIDS AND PURCHASES: None

10. CONSENT AGENDA (Items Requiring a Majority Vote):

A. Claims for council authorization – November 23, 2009

Allendorf moved, Wagner seconded a motion to approve the November 23, 2009 claims which includes electronic fund transfers (EFTs) numbered 1111 through 1120 and checks numbered 223591 through 223874, totaling \$2,797,761.63. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

B. Ordinance authorizing the sale of city-owned property on Spring Lane

Allendorf moved, Wagner seconded a motion to adopt Ordinance No. 2009-16 authorizing the sale of city owned property on Spring Lane. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

C. Agreement with the city of Greenwood to provide city court administrative services

Allendorf moved, Wagner seconded a motion to approve the agreement. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

D. Agreement with the city of Excelsior to provide city court administrative services

Allendorf moved, Wagner seconded a motion to approve the agreement. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

E. Resolution supporting Hennepin County's decision on the locally preferred alternative for the Southwest Transitway

Allendorf moved, Wagner seconded a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2009-105 supporting Hennepin County's decision on the locally preferred alternative for the Southwest Transitway. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

F. Agreement with Minnetonka School District #276 for police liaison services for 2010

Allendorf moved, Wagner seconded a motion to approve the agreement. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

G. Agreement with Hopkins School District # 270 for police liaison services for 2010

Allendorf moved, Wagner seconded a motion to approve the agreement. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

H. 2010 fee schedules for consulting engineering services

Schneider asked for the item to be pulled from the consent agenda. He noted there was a wide range of changes in the fees. Even though there most likely were logical reasons for the increases, he said he was always concerned when the fees are approved without the council reviewing the reasons for the increases. He suggested continuing the item so staff could contact the firms facing an increase of more than three percent to determine if the increases were justifiable.

Gunyou agreed it made sense to do the follow up Schneider suggested and bring the item back at the next council meeting.

Wiersum said he would support doing the work to keep the increases as low as possible.

Schneider said the item had come before the council in the same format for years but it was not the best way to evaluate the level of service the city

is getting since each firm is in a specific category. In some cases there may be a situation where the cost is based on a firm's key representative when the project may be able to use lower paid employees for certain services.

Schneider moved, Wagner seconded a motion to continue the item until the December 7, 2009 council meeting.

All voted "yes." Motion carried.

I. Resolution concerning no parking signs near Scenic Heights Elementary School

Allendorf moved, Wagner seconded a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2009-106 approving the following actions.

- 1) Rescind Resolution No. 2009-077.
- 2) Approve the following traffic control devices.
 - a) No parking on the west side of Scenic Heights Drive from Scenic Lane South to Scenic Drive
 - b) No parking on the north side of Scenic Drive from Scenic Heights Drive to 225 feet east.

All voted "yes." Motion carried.

J. Resolution for the Oak Lea Drive storm sewer improvement project

Allendorf moved, Wagner seconded a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2009-107 receiving the feasibility report, authorizing preparation of the plans and specifications and authorizing easement acquisition for the Oak Lea Drive Storm Sewer Improvement Project No. 06604. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

K. Resolution for the 2010 Street Rehabilitation, Crown Drive/Highland Trail area

Allendorf moved, Wagner seconded a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2009-108 receiving the feasibility report, authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications, and authorizing easement acquisition for the 2010 Street Rehabilitation, Crown Drive/Highland Trail area. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

L. Agreement with city of Edina for wireless link

Allendorf moved, Wagner seconded a motion to approve the agreement. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

11. **Items requiring Five Votes:** None.
12. **INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES:** None
13. **PUBLIC HEARINGS:** None
14. **OTHER BUSINESS:**

- A. **Items concerning a parking lot expansion at Minnetonka High School located at 18301 Highway 7**

Greves recused herself due to a conflict of interest of being employed by the school district.

City Planner Loren Gordon gave the staff report.

Schneider asked for confirmation that a motion to approve the staff recommendation would require four votes. City Attorney Desyl Peterson confirmed that was correct.

Allendorf said he got a different feeling reading the meeting minutes about the planning commission recommendation than he got from listening to Gordon's staff report. He said Gordon indicated the planning commission wanted the school district to first implement the alternatives including the south parking lot, a more comprehensive look at the parking issue, and even possibly an expansion at the arts center. If the alternatives did not work out, then what was before the council should be looked at. Gordon said the planning commission felt the parking lot could still have a smaller expansion adding parking to the north and south without an expansion to the west. This would add 27 spaces. Allendorf said he thought part of the interim solution the planning commission looked at would directly impact the arts area by expanding the parking lot. If the school district wanted to use that land in the future for something other than parking, then the parking spaces would need to be removed. Gordon said there would need to be a rearrangement of where the parking spaces are utilized if parking spaces at the arts center were used. He said the district likes to separate parking for teachers and students. Allendorf asked if the planning commission discussion was about using existing parking spaces or if it also included physically adding spaces at the art center. Gordon indicated the planning commission did not entertain expanding the lot without first looking at the 27 spaces and the spaces down below.

Hiller asked for clarification on how the tree count was calculated. Gordon said the basic removal area would encompass where the parking lot expansion exists and the surrounding grading limits. Replacement would be required for some of the significant trees that are removed. Hiller asked

where the demarcation was for the basic tree removal area. Gordon said the trees on the outside edge of where the grading limit is would be looked at because the root zone for the trees could be impacted. An individual tree inventory would be done for each project to determine the actual loss.

Paul Bourgeois, executive director of finance and operations for the Minnetonka School district, said the primary goal was to provide access for students. There are a considerable number of students that park along the Highway 7 frontage road and then walk to the school crossing at the area northeast of the school site. There is not good visibility in the area. He noted the parking permits sell out every year and the proposal would allow parking for 61 additional students. In order to obtain a parking permit, the district requires the driver to bring another student with them. Bourgeois said the proposal had been downsized several times. The original proposal was for 120 parking spaces. The district is trying to minimize the incursion into the forest area and has committed to replacing all of the significant trees. In addition, the district would provide a significant barrier of mature evergreen trees as was done at Scenic Heights Elementary School. Currently there are no evergreen trees around the north and west sides. This would provide a more permanent visual and sound buffer. He said the district is committed to do the most it can to ensure the parking isn't any more visible to the four houses to the north and to the apartments to the west.

Allendorf said he did not understand how the expansion would address the parking issues on Delton Avenue. He noted the packet included a letter that indicates sophomores are not allowed to have a parking permit. Bourgeois said the reason sophomores are not allowed to get a permit is because there is not sufficient parking available. Preference is given to seniors and the juniors. Allendorf said he had been told the majority of students parking on Delton Avenue were sophomores. He asked if the 61 additional spaces would allow sophomores to park on the campus and thus eliminate parking problems on Delton Avenue. Bourgeois said that was the hope. He noted the district charges \$250 for a parking permit and the fee typically is not a hurdle for students. The hurdle is the number of parking spaces. Allendorf said people have told him the reason students park on Delton Avenue was because they could not get a permit or did not want to purchase one.

Schneider said it was his understanding that if there was a significant safety issue, the city could designate the area as no parking. City Engineer Lee Gustafson confirmed that was correct. Wiersum asked if the school district would support no parking on Delton Avenue. Cathy Maes, a school board member, said it was not safe for students to park on Delton Avenue and the board would be amenable with not allowing parking on the street.

Arlene Gregory, 436 Kenmar Circle, asked how many parking spots are at the high school and how much was charged for a permit. Schneider said the district indicated permits are \$250 and approximately half of the 945 parking spaces are for students.

Linda Christianson, 18819 Kingswood Terrace, said there was a neighborhood meeting in the spring of 2008 that was attended by some of the school board members and the superintendent. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the negative impact the expansion of the ballpark had on the neighborhood with the additional lighting and noise. She said one of the questions raised was about the district's plans for future expansion. The residents were told the district had no other plans for expansion because of the lack of room. Christianson said she was concerned because the neighbors had been fighting the ballpark lighting and noise issue with no resolution and if the parking expansion was approved, the neighborhood would have to deal with additional issues. She noted the district continues to advertise open enrollment with the intention of bringing in students that live outside the district. She said the area is a nightmare to drive in the morning and adding cars would make it even more difficult. She suggested the district look into options including affordable busing. She said she also was concerned about safety in the area because of the lack of sidewalks for students to walk on.

Wiersum asked if the school district had looked into requiring three riders per car and the impact that would have on the number of parking spaces needed. He also asked for the number of students that drive, the number of open enrollment students there are in the district and how many of them drive. Bourgeois said when the policy was established requiring two students per parked car, one of the considerations was balancing efficiency with safety issues. There are safety issues with having too many teenagers in one car. Wiersum agreed statistics show that the more kids there are in a car, the less safe the car becomes. Maes indicated that around 10 percent of the students in the district are open enrollment students. Bourgeois said there are around 280 open enrollment students and most of them are in the younger grades. Maes said the highest number of open enrollment students come from neighboring districts. Wiersum asked what proportion of total students drive and what proportion of the open enrollment students drive. Bourgeois said there are 493 student permits out of the current enrollment of 2,800 students. If each car has two students, there is parking for around 36 percent of the students. He said there are open enrollment students that drive to a collection point within the district and then take a bus into the school. There are 36 buses that come into the campus on a daily basis. He said around a third of the students drive.

Wagner noted the planning commission discussed unused parking spaces and asked if the district looked at the issue after the planning commission meeting. Maes said the planning commission discussed the parking spaces down by the softball field. Bourgeois said there are 20 staff members who park in that area along with construction crews. Once the construction is completed other staff will be assigned to parking spaces. He said during the day people will notice empty spots but this is due to a lot of students being eligible for supplemental off campus learning opportunities. These students leave and then return during the day.

Hiller said the high school parking lot is a very busy place that would make Trader Joes envious. The difference however is that there is parking at adjacent buildings for Trader Joes and the high school has limited space available. He said the city needs to support the district but the issue was the district was hitting the limit to the amount of space that can be used for parking. He questioned if the proposal would solve the problem noting that the original goal was to add 120 parking spaces and district representatives indicated adding 61 spaces would solve part of the problem but more parking would be needed in the future. Hiller said there needed to be a good long term solution and a more comprehensive plan developed. He said the current plan would not preserve the natural features of the site and alternatives have not been looked at closely enough.

Schneider agreed with Hiller about the lack of space available. He said if the district could start from scratch, the site could be designed more efficiently to make it work. The issue is that things have been added piecemeal over the years. He agreed there would be some environmental impacts but the impacts from the proposal would be modest compared to the scope of the entire site. He said it was incumbent that the school district refine a master plan defining future needs while taking a broader look at all the options including acquiring more property. But he said he didn't think the need to develop such a plan warrants not allowing the current proposal to move forward. His support for the proposal includes a message to the district that it must take a more holistic look at the entire site.

Allendorf said he would like clarity in when it could be determined that the problem had been solved. If the 61 parking spaces were added, would it eliminate the need for students to park along Delton Avenue? If no parking signs were put up on Delton Avenue, would other parking problems be created? He said if somebody could tell him that a certain number of additional parking spaces would solve the problem and this was how it was determined the problem was solved, he could support such a proposal. He added when he looks at the site and asks where a new parking lot or parking lot extension should go, the area being looked at

was the logical location. Adding parking in the area by the arts center would cut off other building alternatives. He said it was his understanding that if the school district used the shoulder possibilities on the current parking lot there would be an additional 27 parking spaces. If there was a reshuffling of staff parking to the south parking lot there would be 21 or 22 additional parking spaces. If these interim steps were taken and the problem still was not solved then the city could look at approving the current proposal and nothing would be lost. He said he was leaning toward not supporting the proposal because there was an interim solution. When the master plan is identified and it can be determined how many spaces are needed to solve the problem then a proposal could be developed that would solve the problem.

Wiersum agreed with Schneider that if things could be started from scratch the campus would look much different but schools do not develop that way. He said listening to Allendorf's comments there were 34 parking spaces on the bubble because the 27 spots that could be gained by building out the shoulders would be minimally intrusive. He too was troubled with the question if the problem was truly being solved. Everyone agrees there are safety issues. He said the school district is a great school district but some of the problems were self created with the success of open enrollment. If there were no wetlands and the land was all developable, then there might be the ability to expand the space. He noted the district is in the middle when compared to other districts in terms of spaces per student. He said he was concerned with the issues the neighbors have raised and there will be a point where the site cannot take any more development. Without a master plan and collaboration between the city and the school district on what can and cannot be done with the site, there will continue to be incremental solutions that make things better but do not solve the problems. Taking a step forward with the incremental solutions and then having to go through the process all over again is hard on the neighbors and the school district. He said he could support adding the 27 spots but did not like the impacts that adding the other 34 spots would have.

Wagner said the concerns that had been raised about the lack of a master plan, the unknown about what problem was being solved, and the safety issues were all tied together. The challenge was there is a short term parking need but the future needs had to be looked at as well. He said to solve the problem would likely require building a parking ramp and the district was unlikely to build a ramp for student parking. The question was if the proposal should be approved pending the development of a master plan by the school district. He said he would feel unfulfilled by any action the council could take at this point.

Ellingson said he would feel more comfortable with a review of alternatives that could possibly reduce the number of cars that go to the school.

Hiller moved to approve the resolution denying the site and building plan review and conditional use permit for the parking.

Peterson suggested the motion to be a direction to staff to bring back at the next meeting a resolution with written findings based on the council discussion and the planning commission recommendation.

Schneider said he heard a fair amount of support for allowing the 27 additional parking spaces. Hiller suggested that issue would be addressed better with staff review of the details. Gordon said any expansion of the parking lot would be subject to the site and building plan review. Schneider said the 27 spaces were already being recommended for approval by staff. He asked if the motion was to approve the 27 spaces instead of 61 spaces if further study would be needed. Gordon indicated further study would not be needed.

Hiller moved, Allendorf seconded a motion directing staff to bring back at the December 7, 2009 council meeting a resolution with written findings based on the council discussion and the planning commission's recommendation approving 27 additional parking spaces but denying the remainder of the application.

Wiersum said the council should give direction to the school district on what the master plan should include. He said the master plan should involve more than a discussion of parking and include what the district plans are for the facility and how much more capacity the facility has. Schneider agreed and said the plans should not just address the projections of future enrollment but also identify alternatives if it is determined there will be more parking demand than can be satisfied on the site. One of the alternatives might be acquiring an offsite location. Wagner said the plan should address the capacity question for the future and a demand management plan for the parking situation. He said the city should quantify the safety issue on Delton Avenue.

Allendorf said he was concerned about asking the school district to come up with long term plan without defining what a long term plan actually is. He said had a long range plan been in place 15 years ago, it likely would not have anticipated a hockey rink or an arts center on the campus. Wiersum said the safety issue was counterintuitive because when there is a discussion about a safety problem, typically residents do not ask for more cars in a location. He noted that students that do not drive often get rides from their parents. This adds additional trips for these students. He said the issue was complex and that was why the plan was needed.

Peterson said the council's discussion indicated the city's focus was on the land use and safety issues. What the council was not trying to do was second guess the school board's public policy decisions. Schneider indicated that was correct.

Ellingson, Wagner, Hiller, Allendorf, Wiersum and Schneider voted "yes." Greves abstained. Motion carried.

B. Glen Lake Plaza/Station revised concept plan

Community Development Director Julie Wischnack gave the staff report.

Wagner noted the project was a half million dollars over budget primarily because of the lack of completion of the redevelopment project and corresponding delay in TIF revenue. What was being proposed was covering the shortfall with funds from the development account. The plaza was the main reason for the shortfall. He asked for the current status of phase two of the redevelopment and how the status was factored into the revised estimates of TIF reimbursement. Wischnack said phase two was back in motion with indications from St. Therese that they will be back before the council by the end of the year. She said currently the only TIF flowing from the redevelopment is from the Exchange Building. Wagner said the current estimates assume phase two will go forward within the next twelve months. Wischnack confirmed that was correct.

Hiller asked for clarification about the fence. Wischnack said the pedestrian issue has not been fully resolved and options need to be explored. She said there was a delicate balance between the entrance to the park and creating a welcoming feeling, and safety issues with people coming out of the park at the busy intersection. The fence would be one option. Hiller said that for the front pergola one of the terms used at the charrette was curb appeal and trying to get name recognition at the location. He asked if something was included in the plan to provide the identification. Wischnack said the issue was not resolved at the charrette. Included in the discussion was trying to pick up some of the history within the sculpture of the pergola or having the name of the park on the pergola. She said those decisions could be made with proposed solutions in the final specifications. Schneider said he recalled that there was a general consensus that the name of the area would remain Glen Lake Station. Wischnack agreed and said she was not clear whether or not to put the name on the pergola. Schneider said that the park the city of Wayzata did at County Road 101 and Wayzata Boulevard has a very small sign with engraved letters over the entry and that was what he had envisioned.

Allendorf asked if it was correct that the county would not allow a two foot see through wrought iron gate to be put right up to the curb. Wischnack said originally there were ideas about using bollards in the area and the county would not allow that to occur. Allendorf asked if the county would allow a decorative gate. Gustafson said the county only allows certain features within six feet of curbing typically related to sign posts and street lights. Fencing is not allowed. Allendorf said he likes the plan and would like some of the history of the area be included.

Wagner said the design looks great as it did last April. He said he had grave reservations about supporting the item given the half million dollar shortfall. Until phase two was underway with TIF funds being generated, he was unwilling to spend the additional money for the Glen Lake Station especially from the development fund. He said going forward a policy decision could be made to use other accounts. He noted the city was planning on paying back the park fund for the FHTC house and not doing that was perhaps one way to cover the shortfall.

Schneider said procedurally he wanted the council to come to a consensus about the plan and to figure out what it would cost. How to pay for the cost would be the separate subject. Paying for the project could be a matter of doing it in phases, or it could include using other funds. Gunyou agreed with Schneider's suggestion. What was being asked for at this meeting was an approval of the design with any additional direction the council wanted to give to staff along with an authorization to go out for bids. He said a likely outcome would be the city would receive better bids given the economic environment. It would probably be February or March before the item came back to the council for acceptance of the bids. By that time there could be specific recommendations about the funding.

Wiersum said the plan was good and reflected what was discussed at the design meeting. For the historical piece he could see calling the location the Glen Lake Station and using wrought iron at the top of the pergola. He suggested having a historical marker would be a nice touch as well. He said it made sense to take the next step but he shared Wagner's discomfort in paying for the shortfall with the development fund.

Greves said because she was not on the council when the initial budget was set she wanted more information about the initial vision for the plaza and the \$350,000 budget. She asked if a water feature was envisioned all along. Gunyou said the original budget was a placeholder, not based on any specific design. Greves said the fountain is estimated to cost around \$140,000. She said if the fountain was scaled back it could reduce the cost by a significant amount. She suggested including a scaled back fountain in the bid proposal. Gunyou said the city often does bid alternates and if the council wanted to look at that, staff could ask for different bids.

Greves said she liked the water feature but it would be interesting to see the savings from a scaled back feature. Wischnack noted that when the city does optional bids design costs have to be paid for in order to prepare the specification for the bids. Greves said her question was what the cost might be if the larger fountain in the middle was removed leaving the outer jets. She asked if private contributions beyond the bank had been looked into specifically to pay for art. Wischnack said she had not had further discussions beyond the bank because she wanted to have a more solid plan before she held other discussions. She said it was likely there would be sponsors for the plantings. Greves said she would like to see part of the next discussion to include what opportunities exist for private contributions.

Allendorf said the most support in the area has been for the water feature. The water feature was scaled back at the charrette due to aesthetic issues and what would work and could be maintained. He said the water feature was the central feature and was what everybody wants.

Hiller agreed the water feature had been scaled back but had been brought back. He did not want to shortchange the feature because the public wants it. He said he understood Wagner's concerns about the funding but it was important to keep in mind that it was expected that a couple of hundred people would move into the Kinsel Point area. He said that if a fence is put up next to the gate, people would park bikes there and that is not desirable. Overall the plan is good but he was concerned about the seating. The seats are isolated and off to the side and would not bring people into the park.

Greves said when she initially looked at the plan she was disappointed because the case was made for a grander water feature at the charrette. The diameter of the water feature appeared to be around seven feet with a cost of \$140,000 making her question if there was something that could be done to trim the cost while keeping the area grand.

Hiller asked for the approximate size of the fountains. Wischnack said the fountains would be less than 15 feet across.

Schneider said he agreed with Hiller about the seating. The isolated area would be appropriate but should not be the starting point. The starting point should be more convenient bench seating around the central plaza area. He suggested getting rid of the stone seats that have no backs on them. He would keep the 30 foot diameter with a formal plaza but also including a smaller ring around with benches tying the ring in with the back of the flower bed. He agreed there needed to be something visual that would alert people going through the pergola they were going into streetscape space. He suggested moving the pergola back eight feet into

the site creating an eight foot walkway between the pergola and the sidewalk. The bollards then could be put on the right of way. He also suggested looking at the height of the pergola because it appeared to be out of scale with the pedestrian access and signage. He said everyone he has talked to has said that water features are really important. The key determining price factor was whether or not kids were allowed to play in the feature. Scaling back the size would not proportionally reduce the cost. The first phase of the design should be to flush out the specifics of the water feature. There also had to be a serious discussion about how to pay for the project.

Wagner asked staff to walk through the process and the projected next steps. Wischnack said the next step was to get drawings of the fountain for the council to review. If the council was comfortable with the design staff would move into the bid specifications and getting actual bids for the entire park. Schneider said discussion of the funding alternatives would follow the review of the fountain drawings. Wagner said he was concerned about getting too far into the process without first resolving the funding alternatives. Gunyou suggested first getting the bids and then having the discussion about funding because there likely would be very favorable bids that would make some of the funding issues moot. Allendorf noted that by March there would be a better idea if St. Therese was going forward and knowing that would help in the funding discussion. Schneider said if the developer gets the HUD funding the project would likely move forward.

15. APPOINTMENTS and REAPPOINTMENTS: None

16. ADJOURNMENT

Wiersum moved, Greves seconded a motion to adjourn the meeting at 8:45 p.m. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

Respectfully submitted,

David E. Maeda
City Clerk