

**MINUTES
MINNETONKA CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING
MONDAY, MARCH 4, 2002**

1. CALL TO ORDER.

Mayor Anderson called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

All joined in the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. ROLL CALL.

City Clerk Kathleen Magrew called the roll. Council members Linda Koblick, Al Thomas, Ken Tauer, Jan Callison, Dick Allendorf, Terry Schneider and Karen Anderson were present.

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA.

City Manager John Gunyou said that there were no additions to the agenda.

Koblick moved, Thomas seconded a motion to approve the agenda as submitted. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

Schneider moved, Allendorf seconded a motion to approve the minutes of the February 25, 2002 regular council meeting as submitted. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

6. SPECIAL MATTERS.

A. Proclamation declaring March 14, 2002 Caring Youth Day in the City of Minnetonka.

Callison noted that 14 youths will be recognized at the March 14, 2002 Caring Youth Award program. She read a proclamation into the record declaring March 14, 2002 as Caring Youth Day in Minnetonka.

7. REPORTS FROM CITY MANAGER & COUNCIL MEMBERS.

Gunyou reported that there will be no council meeting on March 11. The next council study session will be held on March 18, and the next regular council meeting will be held on March 25.

Gunyou reported that the police department recently made an arrest and charges were issued in the three bank robberies that had taken place since last August. The police department also arrested three individuals for the vandalism at the Minnetonka High School this past weekend.

Anderson noted that the Minnetonka Police Department recently received an Award of Excellence from Hopkins Schools for the mock crash program staged last summer. Special recognition was given to Sergeant Steve Kniss and Scott Boerboom for coordinating that event.

Allendorf said that the Police Advisory Committee met last week. They received information about what activities the police are mandated to perform and the other activities they also perform. At their next meeting, PAC will discuss the non-mandated activities to determine if there are more effective ways to perform these tasks, or if some could be eliminated or performed by another group.

8. CITIZENS WISHING TO DISCUSS MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA.

None.

9. BIDS AND PURCHASES.

A. Consideration of bids for the TH 7/Williston Road South Frontage Road Project No. 7504U.

Gunyou reminded the council that this project was delayed when only one bid was received last fall. The project was recently re-bid, resulting in a savings of about \$200,000 – one-third less than the earlier bid.

Callison asked when the work would begin. City Engineer Lee Gustafson said that efforts would be made to start the project as quickly as possible for the city's sake and also for the bank located at that intersection. He said that coordination was needed with the road restrictions and the drive-thru being constructed for the bank.

Callison moved, Tauer seconded a motion to award the bid for the TH 7/Williston Road South Frontage Road Project No. 7504U to Northwest Asphalt, Inc. in the amount of \$396,677.85. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

B. Approval of agreement for right-of-way acquisition at Gizmo Lane.

Gunyou said that the council had authorized condemnation for the acquisition of this right-of-way easement, but that a negotiated settlement had been reached. Tauer expressed appreciation that the matter was resolved agreeably, and specifically thanked Mr. Lambert and Mr. Bader.

Tauer moved, Allendorf seconded a motion to approve an agreement for right-of-way acquisition at Gizmo Lane subject to minor amendments by the city attorney. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

10. Items requiring a Majority Vote.

A. Claims for council authorization. None.

B. Resolution accepting plans and specifications and authorizing the advertisement for bids for the Gray's Bay Marina Project No. 4721.

Tauer moved, Allendorf seconded a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2002-024 accepting plans and specifications and authorizing the advertisement for bids for the Gray's Bay Marina Project No. 4721. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

C. Approval of a resolution amending City Council Policy No. 11.7 pertaining to Big Willow Park operations (lighting and name change).

Allendorf pulled this item to ask how the lighting would benefit the youth who use the field.

Rick Krueger, President of the Big Willow Baseball Association, 3605 Shady Oak Road, said that extending the hours will benefit the children because their games tend to take longer. He also said that the association wants lighting for safety purposes for those who are closing down the concession stand.

Callison asked how frequently the lights are on at field #1. Recreation Director Dave Johnson said that there were be very few occasions when the lights on field #1 would not be lit.

Callison asked who would monitor compliance of the lighting. Johnson said that it was more difficult to monitor the lighting under existing policy. With the new policy, staff can easily determine if lights are off at 10 p.m.

Callison noted that the baseball association was requesting the lights to be lit until 10:20. Johnson said that would only occur if the lights were not on at field #1 to allow maintenance.

Anderson asked if the lights would be off before 10 p.m., if the game was over earlier. Johnson said that the language could be re-worked to clearly state that the lights would be off within five minutes of the end of the game or by 10 p.m. Anderson said that she would prefer that language because as she read the language in the packet, the lights would be on until 10 p.m.

Allendorf noted that the two neighbors to the south would be positively affected by the language change Anderson suggested. Johnson clarified the new proposal to have the lights off five minutes after the end of the game, if the field #1 lights are on, or by 10 p.m.

Anderson invited input from the audience. No one spoke. Johnson said that he had notified David Kamp and Barb Wood of this meeting and they indicated they would attend. Anderson noted that they were not in the audience. She was pleased with the language changes.

Callison said that the changes were positive. She noted that the motion should include the changes recommended by Anderson. Johnson summarized those. Krueger clarified that the lights would be turned off within five minutes after the end of the game provided the lights on field #1 were lit. Anderson asked staff to work on the best language to state the change in section 1.07 of the policy.

Allendorf moved, Koblick seconded a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2002-025 amending City Council Policy No. 11.7 pertaining to Big Willow Park operations (lighting and name change) to include amended language in section 1.07 as proposed by the mayor. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

D. Approval of temporary signs at 16023 Minnetonka Boulevard for the Minnetonka Lutheran Church.

Tauer moved, Allendorf seconded a motion to approve the temporary display of banners at 16023 Minnetonka Boulevard. Display would be permitted in the months of March, April, August, September, October, and December of this calendar year. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

E. Resolution approving the Minnetonka Boulevard and County Road 101 intersection improvement concept plan.

Koblick asked that this item be pulled for a staff presentation on the proposal for the intersection. She noted her support for the project. She said that the city had been working on this project with the businesses for a long time, and the Economic Development Authority had also endorsed to the project.

Gunyou said that the city worked cooperatively with the business owners, rather than using a typical redevelopment approach.

Community Development Director Ron Rankin said that staff saw a benefit to moving up the project implementation schedule after consulting with the businesses and considering the broader community interests. Sidewalks and landscaping are planned for the area, but the road improvements need to be completed first. The road project is slated for 2003.

Gustafson reviewed the intersection plan, noting that there will be only one through lane for all four directions at the intersection. Left and right turn lanes will be provided, and there will be islands north and south of the intersection on 101. Gustafson said that staff has been working with the businesses to determine the feasibility of consolidating the access points. The businesses were advised that this would be at their discretion and this was not being required by the city or the county. Some businesses wanted some time to discuss the concepts.

Gustafson said that setting the plan now sends a good message to the neighbors to the south that the county does not expect four lanes at the CSAH 5 intersection or to the south. The residents who came to the project meeting appreciated this fact.

Gustafson said that CSAH 5 will shift nine feet to the south, both east and west of the intersection, to accommodate landscaping and a sidewalk. Without the shift, these amenities would have resulted in a loss of parking area in front of the businesses. Gustafson said

that the Lakewinds parking lot will also be re-striped so the parking area can be better utilized.

Callison noted that the medians would restrict left turns onto CSAH 101. Gustafson said that efforts were made to create right in/right out maneuvers, and to ensure that the businesses had full movement onto CSAH 5. Callison wanted to make sure that the needs of the customers of these businesses were considered. She hoped that the plan would have room to evolve. Gustafson said that when the plans are submitted to Hennepin County, staff would advise the county of the council's desire to maintain flexibility in the design of the medians.

Callison said that she also hoped that staff would look for ways to ensure that small, local businesses remain in the area. Rankin said that the existing businesses have been active participants in the project.

Koblick moved, Tauer seconded a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2002-026 approving the Minnetonka Boulevard and County Road 101 intersection improvement concept plan. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

- F. Resolution accepting plans and specifications and authorizing the advertisement for bids for the 2002 Pavement Rehabilitation Program Project No. 4416 (Archwood Road, Crestridge Drive, Belmont Road, Lakeview Drive, Runnymede Lane, Welland Avenue, Traymore Road, Yorkshire Avenue South, Cherrywood Road, Westernesse, Loveland Circle, Cheyenne Circle, Shalom Road)**

Tauer moved, Allendorf seconded a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2002-027 accepting the plans and specifications and authorizing the advertisement for bids for the 2002 Pavement Rehabilitation Program Project No. 4416 including the streets noted above. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

11. Items requiring five votes. None.

12. INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES.

- A. An ordinance that would eliminate the requirement that offices not exceed 50 percent of the gross floor area of the principal structure in industrial zones.**

Principal Planner Cary Teague reviewed the information in the staff report on this item.

In response to Tauer's inquiry, Teague stated that if a warehouse wanted to convert to office space and they did not have sufficient parking, staff would not issue a permit. Teague said that such a request would require a variance hearing by the planning commission.

Schneider moved, Tauer seconded a motion to introduce an ordinance that would eliminate the requirement that offices not exceed 50 percent of the gross floor area of the principal structure in industrial zones, and refer the ordinance to the planning commission. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

13. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

A. **Public hearing to consider a resolution approving the projected use of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds for year 2002 and the reprogramming of CDBG funds from program years 2000 and 2001.**

Gunyou noted that the council was being asked to take two actions: (1) approval of the allocation for the current year's (2002) funding, and (2) reprogramming of a portion of the 2000 and 2001 balances. He noted that the federal government is tightening the guidelines for the CDBG program, and funds above a specified balance that are not used by a certain date will no longer be available to Minnetonka. Gunyou said that it would be prudent to reprogram these funds so that they can remain in our community.

Rankin reviewed the background information about the service funding recommendations.

Callison asked if the Greater Minneapolis Daycare had fully used their past allocations. Rankin said that they had reduced their funding request from \$8,020 to \$7,500, so staff did not proportionally reduce their funding request as staff did for the other requests. Rankin said that the city's funds are the last ones used for the waiting fund from the state, so the city's contribution is often not used until the end of the year. He said that GMDCA has never left an accumulating balance in the account.

Anderson noted that the Northwest Hennepin Human Services Council did not list Minnetonka as one of the 15 communities it

serves. She asked what kind of services they provide. Rankin said that they are one of three human services councils. They have taken on some, but not all, of the responsibilities of the former Suburban Alliance. They provide certain emergency services and meet some of the homeless needs.

Anderson asked if the letter to the requesting agencies would reflect that the city's allocation was reduced from last year. Rankin said that would be done.

Rankin then provided the background information on the housing rehabilitation program.

Tauer recited the benefits of the program to make people aware of it. Rankin said that staff has done a better job of working with applicants before they apply, so more applicants are qualifying. He said that staff works with senior services and advertises in the *Senior Script*. There is also some word of mouth publicity from senior users.

Rankin then discussed staff reprogramming recommendations. He reminded the council that in addition to allocating funds for the housing rehabilitation program, this program area also serves as a holding account for CDBG funds for other projects. He said that it had been a few years since there had been any such projects. He noted that past projects had included land acquisitions and Americans with Disabilities Act improvements to city facilities.

Rankin said that staff was recommending providing a portion of the reprogrammed funds for WHAHLT, which plans to acquire seven affordable homes in Minnetonka this year. The closing on the first home took place last week. WHAHLT has applied to private and government agencies for funding but those funds will not be available until spring, which may adversely affect their ability to reach their goals.

Rankin noted that Sojourner is building a shelter on Baker Road. They have raised over \$2 million of the needed \$3 million. Hopkins has contributed \$20,000 from their CDBG allocation, and Minnetonka Beach has contributed \$50,000 from the Hennepin County CDBG allocation. Sojourner has experienced unexpected costs due to soil corrections and the need to provide a commercial kitchen. They are seeking funds for these cost overruns.

Rankin reviewed a chart outlining the recommended allocations of \$150,150 for WHAHLT and \$75,000 for Sojourner, which would leave a balance in the rehabilitation fund of \$141,850. This would be in addition to the \$193,800 programmed for 2002, leaving a total of \$335,650 available for home rehabilitation grants.

Callison said that because she is a paid staff member of WHAHLT, she will not participate in the discussion or vote on that item. Anderson asked if the reprogramming decision could be addressed in a separate motion. City Attorney Desyl Peterson said that the resolution could be separated into two resolutions.

Anderson opened the public hearing at 7:28 p.m.

Pat Lind spoke on behalf of the Sojourner, Inc., board of directors. She invited the council to drive past the project to see their progress. She said that an August 1 move-in date is planned, which is ahead of schedule.

Lind described the additional costs that have been incurred since the plans were approved. The contractor found 28 cubic yards of debris in the ground. Apparently, the land had been used as a landfill and contained concrete, mattresses and tires, and considerable fill was needed. This setback resulted in an additional cost of \$147,000. Lind said that the city's environmental health officer required a commercial kitchen due to the license they were seeking. This added an additional \$10,026 to their costs. The contamination issue caused a delay in construction, which resulted in an additional \$15,000 in costs to deal with cold weather conditions. Sojourner has raised \$2.3 million since November, 2000, and they are grateful for their supporters. Lind said that they hoped for the council's support.

Sandal Hart, a member of the Minnetonka EDA and also the WHAHLT Treasurer, thanked the council for their support in providing funding for operations and acquisitions. She said that WHAHLT is working to submit grant requests, but nearly all of the funding would not be available until June. She noted that many of the grants do not allow WHAHLT to commit to an acquisition prior to awarding of the grant. They have two properties that could be acquired before the grant funding is available and there are two additional acquisitions in the works.

Allendorf asked if increasing their allocation from \$150,150 to \$200,000 would enable them to move forward with the fourth

acquisition. Hart said that their minimal goal is to acquire seven Minnetonka homes in 2002 and they hope to exceed that goal. She said that the problem is that homes in their target price range are usually sold within 24 hours of listing, so they need to have readily available funding.

Nora Davis of the Hopkins Area Family Resource Center expressed support for the city's actions related to affordable housing. She noted the difficulty of dealing with this issue, and appreciated the city's innovative and creative approach.

Davis thanked the council for their generous support of the Family Center. She spoke about the effect September 11 has had on agencies such as theirs. Davis noted that requests for assistance have risen in Minnetonka due to people being laid-off from their jobs and housing costs increasing. She said that the center has formed good relationships with Minnetonka Heights and Crown Ridge. Davis said that the center distributed 400 pieces of winter warm wear this year, and they are now fielding a number of income tax inquiries. The center reaches out in different ways to meet the needs of community.

Tauer noted that there is a cap to the funds available for services, and the dollars to be reprogrammed cannot go toward services. Davis said that everyone works together and she is grateful for whatever support they receive.

Anderson noted the center's location and its availability to Minnetonka residents. She related examples of the center's efforts to meet the needs of children, and said that although the center serves thousands, it reacts to individual situations.

Carla Jacobson, Greater Minneapolis Daycare, 1628 Elliot Avenue South, Minneapolis, thanked the council for their support. She said that the funds help to reduce the waiting period for state eligibility to the basic sliding fee. She distributed written information about their services in Hennepin County and Minnetonka, and expressed a willingness to meet with the city to discuss other needs.

Bonnie Harper-Lore, 12505 Ridgemount Avenue, spoke as a member of the Sojourner board. She noted that women who live in Minnetonka are involved in the organization, and that the organization serves the western suburbs and metropolitan area. She was pleased that the city might be able to offer some help.

Anderson closed the hearing at 7:48 p.m.

Schneider moved, Callison seconded a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2002-028 approving the projected use of the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds for year 2002. All voted "yes." Motion carried.

Callison left the council chambers at this point.

Tauer moved, Koblick seconded a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2002-029 approving the reprogramming of the 2000 and 2001 funds, allocating \$150,150 to WHAHLT and \$75,000 to Sojourner.

Allendorf asked if the allocation to WHAHLT could be increased. Rankin said that was within the council's discretion, and that the staff wants to ensure the minimum expenditure to preserve the funding in Minnetonka.

Allendorf said that WHAHLT is a new project that is struggling with funding to reach its goals. He said that the Sojourner is a good project which the city supports annually through its operating budget. The council has also supported the project through its review process. He was concerned that their request resulted from cost overruns. He stated that the \$75,000 recommended for Sojourner could be better used by WHAHLT to meet the needs of the community.

Anderson noted that WHAHLT has \$500,000 in outstanding funding requests to the wider community and the city's contribution would offer some short-term help until that funding is received. She suggested that any increased contribution to WHAHLT come from the balance in the rehabilitation program rather than by decreasing the contribution to Sojourner.

In response to a question, Gunyou said that the council could use the rehabilitation program balance to increase the WHAHLT funding.

Anderson said that Sojourner meets the goals and requirements of the CDBG program, and it serves the low and moderate-income users that WHAHLT also serves. She noted that the federal government is recommending that wealthier communities not receive CDBG funding in the future. She said that Minnetonka is not on a list of those communities that was recently released. She

had no problem using the rehabilitation program balance for additional WHAHLT funding.

Schneider said that he and Allendorf support Sojourner's program. He said that Sojourner is a tremendous asset to the community. He had concerns in balancing affordable housing needs with cost overruns for the Sojourner project. He agreed that there is an adequate balance in the rehabilitation program, but said that he was considering the basis for contributing to Sojourner's cost overruns.

Schneider noted that he is on the WHAHLT board. WHAHLT is struggling to find appropriate homes to acquire and they have to respond very quickly. They have not been able to find a way to serve lower income individuals because those individuals cannot qualify without additional subsidies. He said that homes in the lower price ranges require substantial retrofitting and remodeling because they are often in bad condition. To address this issue, more creativity or greater write-downs will be needed. He issued a challenge to WHAHLT that if the city contributes additional funding, WHAHLT should focus on meeting the needs of lower and moderate-income individuals—those who are at 50 to 70 percent of the median income, not just those at 80 percent of the median.

Schneider was somewhat sympathetic to Allendorf's comments about Sojourner's cost overruns. He said that he could support some contribution but probably not \$75,000.

In response to Thomas' inquiry about the 15 percent cap on public service allocations, Anderson and Rankin said that the funds to be reprogrammed had to go toward brick and mortar projects, because the public service allocations had already been made.

Thomas asked if contributions toward Sojourner's overruns would set a precedent for other agencies. Rankin said that the city council decides how to budget these funds. He said that in the past the council has granted funding to some agencies, but not to others. Anderson said that the city has declined more funding requests than it has granted.

Koblick said that she supports both WHAHLT and Sojourner. These agencies provide different services which meet the needs of the community. She could support contributing \$75,000 to Sojourner. She did not view doing this as opening the doors to others, but rather a way of continuing to provide services.

Tauer noted that Sojourner had changed its original plan for one building to two buildings to provide structures which are more residential in appearance, which benefits the neighborhood. This increased the development cost.

Anderson expressed concern about issuing a challenge to WHAHLT. She was concerned about the costs of administering stipulations, and said she did not favor them. Schneider explained that he did not intend to require stipulations or strings. He just wanted the WHAHLT board to try their best to reach people with lower incomes.

Anderson asked the city attorney to comment on who can vote on this item. Peterson said that the city's code of ethics governs possible council conflicts of interest. It provides that when a council member serves on a board of directors, there would be a conflict, except when that service is as the city council's designated representative to that group. Schneider is the council's representative on the WHAHLT board, so he does not have a conflict of interest and may vote on the item.

Allendorf asked about the voting requirement for this item. Peterson said that a simple majority of four votes would be required. Allendorf said that he supported a contribution to Sojourner similar to that of Hopkins, with the remainder going to WHAHLT.

Anderson noted that there was a motion on the floor to accept staff's recommendation. She said that she would not support an allocation to WHAHLT or an increase in their allocation at the expense of the Sojourner allocation.

Allendorf suggested calling the question, and explained his reasoning. Anderson said that calling the vote would not allow for discussion. Peterson said that under the rules of order if there were consensus, the vote could be taken; otherwise, a vote would be required to call the question to prevent one council member from cutting off the debate. Allendorf said that it was not his intent to cut off the discussion. Peterson said that he did not need to withdraw the comment because it was a suggestion, not a motion.

Anderson said that she would not oppose increasing WHAHLT's allocation from the rehabilitation program balance.

Thomas said that he supported the WHAHLT allocation and perhaps additional dollars for them. He did have a problem with giving funds to Sojourner due to cost overruns and questioned how that would be viewed by others. He did not know if \$75,000 was too much.

Anderson asked how staff arrived at its recommended amounts. Rankin said that Sojourner's original request at the first of the year was for \$25,000. They subsequently raised the issue of the additional costs, and in their most recent request, they asked for \$150,000. Staff knew of the additional costs due to the soil corrections and the commercial kitchen and made a judgment call that \$75,000 is reasonable and would also help the city meet its minimum expenditure requirements.

Anderson asked if the council could further draw down the remaining \$141,850 balance. Rankin suggested that the balance not drop below \$91,850 because the city has committed to projects that will arise before the 2002 funds are received. This would allow an additional \$50,000 draw down.

Schneider expressed concern about Anderson's comment that she would not support the WHAHLT allocation if it were done at the expense of Sojourner. He said that each council member needs to weigh the total funds and the allocations between two worthwhile uses and make an objective evaluation on the right use. He did not think one request should be used against the other request. He said that WHAHLT needs to stand on its own.

Anderson said that she appreciated Schneider's comments. She agreed that each council member has to weigh the issue individually. She thought her comments were appropriate because she could see that the Sojourner funding was in jeopardy. She supports WHAHLT fully and will do everything she can to support it. She felt strongly about Sojourner as well. She supports giving more money to WHAHLT.

On the vote on the motion, Koblick, Tauer, and Anderson voted "yes." Thomas, Allendorf and Schneider voted "no." Callison abstained. Motion failed.

Schneider moved, Allendorf seconded a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2002-029 approving the reprogramming of the 2000 and 2001 funds, allocating \$200,000 to WHAHLT and \$50,000 to Sojourner

with the additional WHAHLT funding coming from the rehabilitation program fund.

Allendorf then asked the WHAHLT representative about what an additional \$50,000 could do. Hart said that they could either purchase a fourth house or buy-down a house to \$100,000 to help lower income individuals qualify. She said that meeting lower income needs would depend on the dynamics of the market and how much WHAHLT remains a co-owner.

Koblick asked the Sojourner representative to explain their program and to comment on the effect of reducing their funding to \$50,000. Lind said that Sojourner began 15 years ago in Hopkins. They provide safe housing for women and children who need replacement housing due to battering. She said that the number of people served has increased over the years. They serve Minnetonka, St. Louis Park, Hopkins and seven smaller lake communities. In addition to providing emergency shelter, they find housing, arrange for education for children, and provide community education, advocacy, outreach and intervention. Sojourner works with the Minnetonka Police Department, which has given them tremendous support in educating the public. They also provide emergency services education for area employers.

Lind said that a \$50,000 contribution would make it harder for them to raise the needed funding. They have incurred cost overruns, due in large part to working with the neighbors to integrate their facility into the neighborhood, and the change to the commercial kitchen. She said that the change from a single building to two, to meet the neighbor's interests, raised their costs from \$2 million to \$3 million. She said that they would have to go elsewhere to raise the additional money and the climate since September 11th has made that difficult.

Koblick said that she would support giving \$200,000 to WHAHLT and \$75,000 to Sojourner. She did not think it would be appropriate to decrease the contribution to Sojourner.

Anderson noted that these are federal funds.

Tauer moved, Koblick seconded a motion to amend the main motion to give Sojourner \$75,000 instead of \$50,000. Koblick, Tauer, Schneider and Anderson voted "yes." Thomas, and Allendorf voted "no." Callison was absent. Motion carried. Anderson thanked Schneider for his support.

On the vote on the main motion, Koblick, Tauer, Schneider and Anderson voted "yes." Thomas and Allendorf voted "no." Callison was absent. Motion carried. Anderson again thanked Schneider for his support.

Callison returned to the council chambers at this point.

14. OTHER BUSINESS. None.

15. APPOINTMENTS.

A. Appointment to the planning commission.

Anderson moved, Tauer seconded a motion to appoint Anthony Wagner to a two-year term on the planning commission effective April 1, 2002 and expiring on January 31, 2004. All voted "yes."
Motion carried.

16. Adjournment.

Thomas moved, Koblick seconded a motion to adjourn the meeting at 8:35 p.m. All voted "yes."
Motion carried.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathleen Magrew
City Clerk